| Introduction | Model | Nash Equilibrium | Analysis | Extensions |
|--------------|-------------------------|---|---------------------------|------------|
| | | | | |
| Mul | - | Supply funct ^{Vork in Progress} | ion equilibria | |
| Dä | r Ualmhara ¹ | Keith Ruddell ² | Port Willoms ³ | |

Researcher of the Electricity Policy Research Group, University of Cambridge. ²Department of Engineering Science, University of Auckland.

¹Research Institute of Industrial Economics (IFN), Stockholm. Associate

³Tilburg University (CentER, TILEC), Toulouse University (TSE)

11 May 2017

| INTRODUCTION | Model | Nash Equilibrium | Analysis | Extensions |
|--------------|-------|------------------|----------|------------|
| | | | | |
| | | | | |

| INTRODUCTION | Model | Nash Equilibrium | Analysis | Extensions |
|--------------|-------|------------------|----------|------------|
| | | | | |
| | | | | |

Overview

Introduction

Model

Nash Equilibrium

Analysis

Extensions

Multi-product Auctions

- Many auctions deal with heterogenous but closely related goods:
 - Spectrum auctions for different regions
 - Government bonds with different maturities
 - Electricity delivery at different time periods
 - Landing slots at an airport
- Both the auctioneer and bidders have non-separable preferences for goods
 - Goods can be substitutes or complements for consumers
 - Economies of scope in production

How to deal with those multi-product auctions?

- Simultaneously operating simple auctions
 - European power markets (hourly markets for different regions)
 - U.S. spectrum auctions: simultaneous multi-round ascending auctions
- Complex auction where firms submit their preference on bundles or packages
 - PJM-market: Bid-based, security-constrained, economic dispatch with nodal prices
 - U.S. Spectrum auctions: Hierarchical package bidding
 - Block bids in European electricity market

How to deal with those multi-product auctions?

- Simultaneously operating simple auctions
 - European power markets (hourly markets for different regions)
 - U.S. spectrum auctions: simultaneous multi-round ascending auctions
- Complex auction where firms submit their preference on bundles or packages
 - PJM-market: Bid-based, security-constrained, economic dispatch with nodal prices
 - U.S. Spectrum auctions: Hierarchical package bidding
 - Block bids in European electricity market

This paper: Procurement Auction

- Two heterogeneous goods
 - goods are divisible
- Demand: competitive
 - goods can be substitutes or complements
 - demand is stochastic
- ► Supply: duopoly
 - ► (dis)economies of scope
 - can produce multiple units of each good

This paper: Procurement Auction

- Supplier submit a bid function for each good
 - ► for each price level how much the firm is willing to supply
 - quantity for one good depends not only that good's price, but also of the other
 - *i.e.* each firm chooses $s_1(p_1, p_2)$ and $s_2(p_1, p_2)$
- Market equilibrium Uniform Price Auction
 - ► combine supply bids & particular realization of demand
 - ► market clearing → equilibrium prices, production quantities
 - Infra-marginal incentives matter

| INTRODUCTION | Model | Nash Equilibrium | Analysis | Extensions |
|--------------|-------|------------------|----------|------------|
| | | | | |

Related Literature

Multiple-good oligopolies

- Product interactions might soften or weaken competition between oligopoly producers
- ► Bülow, Geanakoplos, and Klemperer (1985), Cabral and Villas-Boas (2005)
- Our contribution: Introduce demand uncertainty and allow for a larger strategy space

Combinatorial auctions

 Ausubel and Cramton (2004), Ausubel, Cramton, and Milgrom (2006), Milgrom (2000), Ausubel (2004)

| INTRODUCTION | Model | Nash Equilibrium | Analysis | Extensions |
|--------------|-------|------------------|----------|------------|
| Literature | | | | |

Supply function equilibria (SFE)

- ► Firms compete by a choosing **supply function** *s*(*p*)
- ► Seminal paper by Klemperer and Meyer (1989)
 - Demand uncertainty pins down supply functions by differential equation
 - Unbounded support unique symmetric equilibrium
- ► Many applications i.a. in electricity markets
 - (Hortaçsu & Puller, 2008; Sioshansi & Oren, 2007; Holmberg & Newbery, 2010)
- Our contribution: We look at multiple products

| INTRODUCTION | Model | Nash Equilibrium | Analysis | Extensions |
|--------------|-------|------------------|----------|------------|
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| - | | | | |

Rest of presentation

- Derive 2-Dimensional version of SFE-model of Klemperer and Meyer
 - Similar first and second order conditions
- Bundling goods (equivalent to coordinate transformation)
 - Decouple demand, costs and bid functions either locally or globally
 - Apply results of standard 1-Dimensional SFE-model

| Introduction | Model | Nash Equilibrium | Analysis | Extensions |
|--------------|-------|------------------|----------|------------|
| | | | | |
| | | | | |

Overview

Introduction

Model

Nash Equilibrium

Analysis

Extensions

| Introduction | Model | Nash Equilibrium | Analysis | Extensions |
|--------------|-------|------------------|----------|------------|
| Set-up | | | | |

- ► Two goods:
 - prices $\mathbf{p} = [p_1, p_2]^\top \in \mathcal{P} \subset \mathbb{R}^2$
 - quantities $\mathbf{q} = [q_1, q_2]^\top \in \mathcal{Q} \subset \mathbb{R}^2$
- \blacktriangleright Stochastic demand function $d: \mathcal{P} \times \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}$
 - $\blacktriangleright \ q = d(p, \epsilon)$
 - demand shock ε = [ε₁, ε₂][⊤] joint cumulative distribution function Φ(ε) on ε.
- ▶ Profit of supplier $k \in \mathcal{K}$ = Revenue minus Costs:

$$\pi_k(\mathbf{q},\mathbf{p})=\mathbf{p}^{\top}\mathbf{q}-c_k(\mathbf{q})$$

| Introduction | Model | Nash Equilibrium | Analysis | Extensions |
|--------------|-------|------------------|----------|------------|
| Set-up | | | | |
| D | | | | |

BIDDING AND EQUILIBRIUM

Firm k bids supply function s_k : P → Q : q = s_k(p) and maximizes expected profit

$$\Pi_{k} = \int_{\mathcal{E}} \pi_{k}(\mathbf{p}^{eq}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}), \mathbf{s}_{k}(\mathbf{p}^{eq}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}))) \, \mathrm{d}\Phi(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}) \tag{1}$$

where the market equilibruim price $p^{eq}(\epsilon)$ is determined by market clearing

$$\mathbf{s}_k(\mathbf{p}) = \mathbf{d}_k(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{\epsilon}) \equiv \mathbf{d}(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{\epsilon}) - \sum_{\mathcal{K} \setminus k} \mathbf{s}_{k'}(\mathbf{p})$$
 (2)

| Introduction | Model | Nash Equilibrium | Analysis | Extensions |
|--------------|-------|------------------|----------|------------|
| | | | | |
| <u> </u> | | | | |

Setup: Assumptions

Demand and Cost

- Convex cost $(\partial^2 c_k / \partial \mathbf{q}^2 > 0)$
- Downward sloping demand $(\partial \mathbf{d}/\partial \mathbf{p} < \mathbf{0})$
- Non-crossing demand ($\partial \mathbf{d} / \partial \varepsilon$ has full rank)

Restrictions on Bidding format

- ► Upward sloping supply (∂s_k/∂p > 0) Implies symmetry ∂s_{k,i}/∂p_j = ∂s_{k,j}/∂p_i
- Equivalently, firm *k* submits convex cost function $Z_k(\mathbf{q})$ such that $(\partial Z_k / \partial \mathbf{q})^{-1} = \partial \mathbf{s}_k / \partial \mathbf{p}$.

| Introduction | Model | Nash Equilibrium | Analysis | Extensions |
|--------------|-------|------------------|----------|------------|
| Manuar | 2 | | | |

Market Coupling?

- A firm's supply of good 1 can depend on the price of good 2 because:
 - 1. Economies of scope in production
 - 2. Demand substitutes or complements
 - 3. Correlated demand shocks
 - 4. Strategic considerations

| Introduction | Model | Nash Equilibrium | Analysis | Extensions |
|--------------|-------|------------------|----------|------------|
| | | | | (|
| | | | | |

Overview

Introduction

Model

Nash Equilibrium

Analysis

Extensions

| Introduction | Model | Nash Equilibrium | Analysis | Extensions |
|--------------|-------|------------------|----------|------------|
| | | | | |

First Order Conditions

2-dimensional version of Klemperer and Meyer conditions:

$$\mathbf{s}_{k}\left(\mathbf{p}\right)+\frac{\partial \mathbf{d}_{k}\left(\mathbf{p},\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}\right)}{\partial \mathbf{p}}^{\top}\left(\mathbf{p}-\frac{\partial c_{k}\left(\mathbf{s}_{k}(\mathbf{p})\right)}{\partial \mathbf{q}}^{\top}\right)=0 \quad (3)$$

- Non-crossing of demand functions leads to ex-post optimality
 - After realization of demand shock ε firm k has no incentive change its bid
- Note: Correlation of demand shocks does not affect coupling of supply functions

| Introductio | 0N | Model | Nash Equilibrium | Analysis | Extensions |
|-------------|----|-------|------------------|----------|------------|
| | | | | | |
| _ | ~ | ~ | | | |

First Order Conditions

► First order condition for firm *k* and good *i* rewrites as:

$$\frac{p_i - c_{k,i}}{p_i} = \epsilon_{k,i}$$

with $\epsilon_{k,i}$ the super-elasticity of the residual demand of firm *k* of good *i*

- Firm takes into account own price and cross-price elasticity of demand
- Nash equilibrium: Solve set of Partial Differential Equations and check second order conditions

| Introduction | Model | Nash Equilibrium | Analysis | Extensions |
|--------------|-------|------------------|----------|------------|
| | | | | |
| | | | | |

Overview

Introduction

Model

Nash Equilibrium

Analysis

Extensions

| Introduction | Model | Nash Equilibrium | Analysis | Extensions |
|--------------|----------|------------------|----------|------------|
| Bundling | OF GOODS | | | |

- Consider the procurement of two bundles i' = 1', 2'
- Each bundle is divisible and consists of fixed proportions of goods 1, 2.
- ► Bundle 1' consists of A_{1'1} units of good 1 and A_{1'2} units of good 2, etc...
- So: \tilde{q} bundles contain $q = A\tilde{q}$ goods

Cost and Demand for bundles: $(\tilde{\cdot})$

- Cost $\tilde{c}_k(\tilde{\mathbf{q}}) = c_k(\mathbf{A}\tilde{\mathbf{q}})$
- Demand $\tilde{\mathbf{d}}(\tilde{\mathbf{p}}, \varepsilon) = \mathbf{B} \, \mathbf{d}(\mathbf{B}^{\top} \tilde{\mathbf{p}}, \varepsilon)$ with $\mathbf{B} = \mathbf{A}^{-1}$.

BUNDLING OF GOODS: INVARIANCE

Theorem

- ► So, Supply Function Equilibria are invariant to bundling.
- We can think of bundling as a coordinate transformation.

| Introduction | Model | Nash Equilibrium | Analysis | Extensions |
|--------------|-------|------------------|----------|------------|
| Linear pro | OBLEM | | | |

With linear demand, additive shocks, quadratic costs and symmetric firms:

$$\mathbf{d}(\mathbf{p}, \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}) = \mathbf{D}\mathbf{p} + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$$
$$c(\mathbf{q}) = \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{q}^{\top} \mathbf{C}\mathbf{q}$$

with *D* and *C* matrices.

Theorem (Diagonalization)

 \exists bundling A such that $\tilde{D} = ADA^{\top}$ and $\tilde{C} = B^{\top}CB$ are diagonal matrices

Introduction Model Nash Equilibrium Analysis Extensions

LINEAR PROBLEM: DIAGONALIZATION

 Diagonalization Theorem implies that the demand and cost for bundles are fully decoupled:

$$egin{aligned} & ilde{d}_1(ilde{\mathbf{p}}, ilde{\mathbf{\epsilon}}) = ilde{D}_{11} ilde{p}_1 + ilde{arepsilon}_1 \ & ilde{d}_2(ilde{\mathbf{p}}, ilde{\mathbf{\epsilon}}) = ilde{D}_{22} ilde{p}_2 + arepsilon_2 \ & ilde{arepsilon}(ilde{\mathbf{q}}) = rac{1}{2} ilde{q}_1 ilde{C}_{11} ilde{q}_1 + rac{1}{2} ilde{q}_2 ilde{C}_{22} ilde{q}_2 \end{aligned}$$

LINEAR PROBLEM: MARKET DECOUPLING

Theorem (Full Decoupling)

If demand and cost are fully decoupled, then in equilibrium also the supply functions are decoupled:

$$\partial s_i(\mathbf{p})/\partial p_j = 0.$$

- ► Consequence of requiring ∂s/∂p to be symmetric. Proof is not fully straightforward.
- Hence, there are no strategic considerations to couple supply if demand and costs are separate.

Linear problem: Equilibria

- Bundle markets such that each
- ► Market for each bundle can be considered separately.
- All results of the standard Klemper and Meyer SFE-model hold for each bundle.
- ► We can derive the SFE for the individual goods by doing the inverse transformation A⁻¹.

Corollary

With unbounded shocks, linear demand and quadratic costs, the linear supply function $\mathbf{s} = S\mathbf{p}$ is the unique SFE.

| Introduction | Model | Nash Equilibrium | Analysis | Extensions |
|--------------|---------|------------------|----------|------------|
| General | PROBLEM | | | |

- In general it is not possible to find a linear bundle that decouples markets *globally*
- However, a bundle that decouples markets *locally* always exist (Taylor expansion of demand and costs)
- ► It can be shown that with this bundle also the supply functions are locally decoupled.
- This means that:
 - local properties of the one-dimensional SFE model carry-over (such as around origin).
 - ► once a single point p₀, q₀ is known on the supply function, the SFE is uniquely defined and can be integrated numerically

| Introduction | Model | Nash Equilibrium | Analysis | Extensions |
|--------------|-------|------------------|----------|------------|
| | | | | |
| | | | | |

Overview

Introduction

Model

Nash Equilibrium

Analysis

Extensions

| Introduction | Model | Nash Equilibrium | Analysis | Extensions |
|--------------|-------|------------------|----------|------------|
| | | | | |
| Extensions | | | | |

- ► Generalization
 - ► All results hold directly for *N* products and *M* firms
 - Demand functions that cross / higher dimensional demand shocks (> N) = Work-in-progress
 - Re-parameterize demand with *N* dimensional demand shock such that demand doesn't cross.
 - Private cost-types (as in Vives).
 - Currently only feasible without correlation of cost types
- Adding examples
 - Study effect of substitutes and complements
 - Compare complex bid auctions (∂s_{k,i}/∂p_j ≠ 0) and simple bid auctions (∂s_{k,i}/∂p_j = 0)