The New (Commercial) Open Source: Does It Really Improve Social Welfare?

Sebastian v. Engelhardt* Stephen Maurer**

*Friedrich-Schiller-University Jena

**University of California, Berkeley

January 13, 2011

Financial support from the Klaus Tschira Foundation is gratefully acknowledged.

Commercial Open Source Software

Open Source Software (OSS):

- Source code is open
- Right to read, modify, improve, redistribute and use it
- $ightarrow\,$ de facto a public good

Closed Source Software (CSS):

- Source code is secret
- Right to use software

- ▶ OSS is jointly developed by non-commercial and *commercial* agents
- ▶ OSS business models: combine OSS with complementary product
- ▶ Rising role of commercial OSS (Deshpande and Riehle 2008)

Most OSS-CSS models without commercial OSS

Only a few models with commercial OSS:

- Duopoly: Baake & Wichmann (2004), Verani (2006), Henkel (2006), Casadesus-Masanell & and Llanes (2009), Lambardi (2009)
- Oligopoly: Schmidtke (2006), only OSS firms
- Users with idiosyncratic preferences and binary demand: Llanes & de Elejalde (2009), Casadesus-Masanell & and Llanes (2009)

Our contribution

- General oligopoly with OSS and CSS firms, both developing software
- Free market entry and exit: endogenous proportion of OSS firms
- Welfare (effects of quantity vs. quality competition and cost sharing)
- Discussing government interventions

The model

- *n* ≥ 2 firms
- Products: software (x) + complementary good/service
- differentiated products (γ)
- Software (x) determines quality of products: $\alpha = 1 + x$
- Software is OSS or CSS

Two stage game

Stage I: Decision on quality (software) Stage II: Decision on quantity

Backward induction: Stage II: \rightarrow stand. result of a horizontally and vertically diff. Oligopoly Stage I: see next slides...

Pure CSS industry

• CSS firm:

$$\pi_{i} = \frac{\left(\alpha_{i} + \theta \sum_{j \neq i} \alpha_{i} - \alpha_{j}\right)^{2}}{h^{2}} - \frac{1}{2} \varphi x_{i}^{cs2}$$
$$\alpha_{i} = 1 + x_{i}^{cs}$$
$$x^{cs*} = \frac{1 + (n-1)\theta}{\frac{1}{2}h^{2}\phi - (1 + (n-1)\theta)}$$

- Quality competition (θ) increases software-output
- Quantity competition (h) decreases software-output

 $h = 2 + \gamma(n-1)$ $\theta = \gamma/(2-\gamma)$ $\omega \in [0, 1], \text{ inverse measure of herizontally product divergence of the second second$

 $\gamma \in [0,1]:$ inverse measure of horizontally product differentiation

Pure OSS industry

• OSS firm:

$$\pi_i = \frac{\alpha_i^2}{h^2} - \frac{1}{2} \phi X^{\text{os}2} \frac{X_i^{\text{os}}}{X^{\text{os}}}$$
$$\alpha_i = 1 + X^{\text{os}}$$

$$x^{\text{os}*} = \frac{1}{\frac{1}{\frac{1}{4} \phi h^2 (1+n) - n}}$$

- Shared code: no quality competition ("cartel effect")
- Quantity competition (h)
- Shared code: pro rata costs

 $\begin{aligned} h &= 2 + \gamma(n-1) \\ \theta &= \frac{\gamma}{(2-\gamma)} \end{aligned}$

 $\gamma \in [0,1]:$ inverse measure of horizontally product differentiation

Engelhardt (FSU Jena), Maurer (UC Berkeley) The New (Commercial) Open Source

Mixed industry (OSS and CSS firms) I

• CSS firm:

- Profit function of CSS firms remains the same
- Quality competition with CSS rivals and OSS rivals
- OSS firm:
 - Quality difference between OSS firms remains zero
 - Quality difference between OSS firm and its CSS rivals is not zero
- \Rightarrow Quality competition by CSS firms weakens cartel effect!

Mixed industry (OSS and CSS firms) II

Ratio of CSS firms \uparrow :

- x_i^{os} ↑ because quality competition increases (weakened cartel effect)
 Number of OSS firms who jointly produce X^{os} decreases
- \Rightarrow net effect: inverted U-shaped $X^{os} = \sum x_i^{os}$
 - **3** Total costs increase (CSS firms \rightarrow duplicated costs)

Welfare: OSS and CSS firms

- Average quality highest if very few OSS firms
- Average costs lowest if only OSS firms
- Mixed industries better than pure states
- Welfare maximized if a few OSS Firmen
- Consumer surplus highest if very few OSS firms
- Producer surplus highest if only OSS firms

Market outcome versus welfare optimum

- Pure case: lock in possible
- Mixed case: too many OSS firms



Government interventions

Pure states

• Lock in: maybe support market entry of the other type

Mixed states

- Tax policy: optimal would be a lump-sum tax for OSS firms and lump-sum tax-breaks for CSS firms
- Government provision of OSS: +
- Government procurement: preferences for OSS products: -

Summary

- General oligopoly model
- Industries with OSS and CSS firms
- CSS: duplication of costs OSS: cartel effect \rightarrow mix!
- No theoretical justification for "pro OSS firms" interventions

The New (Commercial) Open Source: Does It Really Improve Social Welfare?

Sebastian v. Engelhardt* Stephen Maurer**

*Friedrich-Schiller-University Jena

**University of California, Berkeley

January 13, 2011



Figure: Total Number of Open Source Projects

(Source: Deshpande and Riehle 2008)



Market outcome versus welfare optimum

Figure: CS and OS lock in ($\varphi = 2$)



$$x^{cs} = \frac{\left(1 + (n-1)\theta\right)\left(1 - z^2\theta x^{os}\right)}{\frac{1}{2}h^2\phi - \left(1 + (n-1)\theta\right)\left(1 + z\theta\right)}$$

$$x^{\rm os} = \frac{\left(1+r\theta\right)\left(1-\theta r x^{\rm cs}\right)}{\frac{1}{4}\phi h^2 \left(1+z\right) - z \left(1+r\theta\right)^2}$$

n = r + z

z: number of OSS firms

r: number of CSS firms

Parameters and Results

Figure: Parameters and Proportion of OSS Firms



Market Shares



Engelhardt (FSU Jena), Maurer (UC Berkeley) The New (Commercial) Open Source