

# Two-sided Certification: The Market for Rating Agencies

by Erik Fasten and Dirk Hofman

Discussion by Bruno Jullien

17 march 2009

# Introduction

- What "business model" for certifiers?
- Preliminary paper, but clear results.

Other issue: Credibility (see Rochet-McAndrews-Mathis 2009)

## The set-up:

- Two buyers with value  $q$
- One seller with private information on  $q$  and value  $\alpha q$ 
  - If  $\alpha > \frac{1}{2}$  then no trade
  - If  $\alpha < \frac{1}{2}$  then trade at price  $E(q) = \frac{1}{2}$
- One intermediary can produce a verifiable signal of  $q$  which can be transmitted publicly or secretly.

**Remark:** The common value set-up may fit the financial market but not so well other industries, where consumption value is not given by resale value. Some discussion of this should be useful.

# Game

- 1 *Seller observes  $q$*
- 2 Intermediary sets prices
- 3 Seller pays and makes  $q$  public, or not
- 4 Buyers buy the information or not
- 5 *Identity of buyers is revealed*
- 6 First-price auction *with secret reserve price.*

## Bidding game with one informed bidder

- Informed buyers: efficient trade, no rent to buyers
- $\alpha > \frac{1}{2}$  :
  - Uninformed buyers: no trade
  - Asymmetric information: efficient trade, no rent to the uninformed buyer and to the seller.
- $\alpha < \frac{1}{2}$  :
  - Uninformed buyers: efficient trade, no rent to buyers
  - Asymmetric information: inefficient trade, no rent to the uninformed buyer

## Main results:

- The seller reveals  $q > \bar{q}$  if any
- The buyers buy the information with a probability less than 1
- The two-sided business model strictly dominates one-sided business models for the intermediary
  - With sellers-certification:  $\bar{q} = \frac{1}{2}$
  - With buyers-certification:  $\bar{q} = 1$
  - With both :  $\frac{1}{2} < \bar{q} < 1$ .

## Robustness?

- Suppose that intermediary wants sellers to reveal  $q \geq \bar{q}$ 
  - it sets a price  $p^s$  for sellers certification  $q$
  - commits to buyers-certification ( $BC$ ) or no buyers-certification ( $\emptyset$ ) if sellers doesn't pay
- But the profit of sellers  $\bar{q}$  with buyers certification is higher than without!
- Selling to buyers entails conflicting effects
  - lower price  $p^s$  for sellers-certification
  - higher profit on buyers
- The latter dominates for  $\bar{q} = \frac{1}{2}$  but not for  $\bar{q}$  smaller
- Non uniform distribution?

## Comments and questions

- The idea that the intermediary can "cash" on both sides is nice
- Policy implication?
  - In the lemon case: Two-sided better for total welfare than one sided!
- Should clarify the assumptions
  - When does seller choose to sell the good (ex-ante, interim, ex-post)
  - Identity of buyers



## Selling information to buyers

- Is it credible that the identity of buyers obtaining the information is observed?
- Is it optimal to reveal the identity of clients of the certifier?
- If identity is observable, then the certifier should sell exclusive information
  - sell to buyer 1 only at price  $V_{IB}$  yields more profit for the intermediary, implies an efficient allocation.
- What happens if identity not observed (then bidders are not sure of the other bidder's information)?
- Is it optimal to sell the exact information or to garble it?

## When and how to sell?

- The required assumption for the decision to sell are not clear to me
  - What happens if the seller decides to sell or not once he knows who has bought the information?
    - In the lemon, this may destroy the value of information (no sale if one informed)
- Why not put a public reserve price?
  - Jullien-Mariotti (GEB 2006) mix of common and private value: the reserve price signals the quality
- Certifier price to seller not observed by the buyers

# Timing

- Why choosing this timing?
- Simultaneous offers on both sides should not change the results: buyers condition on  $q < \bar{q}$ .
- But reversing the order may make a difference
  - higher price for sellers ?
  - less sellers certification of high  $q$  ?
  - but sellers may certified if only one buyer has the information.