



The Business School for the World

## Sustainable Fleet Operations in the Postal Sector

Vanessa Chocteau (La Poste) David Drake (INSEAD) Paul Kleindorfer (INSEAD) Renato Orsato (INSEAD) Alain Roset (La Poste)

6<sup>th</sup> Toulouse Conference on Regulation, Competition & the USO in the Postal Sector March **25-26**, **2010** 

## **Outline of Discussion**

Background to the study

- Kyoto and Low-carbon Initiatives
- Postal priorities

Strategic Interests of 4 Organizations

- Postal Operator
- •Automotive supplier
- •Electricity supplier
- •Government
- The Basic tradeoffs

Conclusions and open research questions

## **IPCC** 4<sup>th</sup> **Assessment: 2007** (Satellite measurements since 1979)

•Warming everywhere at surface except in Eastern Pacific, Southern Ocean and parts of Antartica.

- •Land warming significantly faster than ocean over last 20 years.
- •Mid-troposphere warming consistent with that at surface.



## **Global Kyoto Commitment**

- 38 countries (EU is considered as one) faced reduction limitation commitments overall reduction of 5.2% from 1990 emission levels
- Commitments lead to a GHG reduction of 10%-20% below business as usual forecasts over period 2008 - 2012



- U.S. In the middle of debate whether to "join" in 2010-2011; voluntary market and state/regional-level activities at this time
- Kyoto Parties also agreed to successive commitment periods (2013-2017 and 2018-2022) but have not agreed on reduction targets

## Allowable emission pathways over time: McKinsey



## Possible to contain global warming below 2°C



#### Abatement potential by sector and key levers

![](_page_6_Figure_1.jpeg)

| Key levers  | Abatement<br>potential |
|---|------------------------|
| <ul> <li>Renewables (Solar, wind, biomass)</li> <li>Nuclear</li> <li>CCS</li> </ul> | 4.0<br>2.0<br>1.7      |
| <ul><li>CCS</li><li>Energy efficiency</li></ul>                                     | 0.4<br>0.3             |
| <ul><li>Clinker substitution</li><li>Alternative fuels</li></ul>                    | 0.5<br>0.3             |
| <ul><li>Energy efficiency</li><li>Co-generation</li></ul>                           | 0.5<br>0.3             |
| <ul><li>CCS</li><li>Motor systems</li></ul>   | 0.4<br>0.3             |

| <ul><li>ICE improvement, hybrids, EV</li><li>Biofuels</li></ul>                        | 1.9<br>0.5 |
|--|------------|
| <ul><li>New build efficiency packages</li><li>Lighting and lighting controls</li></ul> | 0.9<br>0.7 |
| <ul><li>Waste recycling</li><li>Land fill gas direct use</li></ul>                     | 0.9<br>0.2 |
| <ul><li>Avoided deforestation</li><li>Afforestation/reforestation</li></ul>            | 3.6<br>2.4 |
| <ul><li>Grassland management</li><li>Organic soil restoration</li></ul>                | 1.3<br>1.1 |

Note: This is an estimate of the maximum potential of all technical GHG abatement measures below €60 per tCO<sub>2</sub>e if each lever was pursued aggressively. It is not a forecast of what role different abatement measures and technologies will play. Source: Global GHG Abatement Cost Curve v2.0

# Why EV's? 80% GHG reduction by 2050 possible with radical changes in transportation sector

![](_page_7_Figure_1.jpeg)

Source: Daniel Sperling, "Transforming Transportation: two billion cars and climate-energy policy", 2009

## Emissions from various energy & EV power systems

| Energy<br>Source | Motive<br>Energy for<br>Automobile | Power<br>System            | Liters of Gas<br>Eqv./100<br>km * | Gr of CO2<br>Eqv./km<br>* | Gr of CO2<br>Eqv/km-<br>Auto Alone |
|------------------|------------------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------------------|
| Oil              | Gasoline                           | Internal<br>Combustion     | 6.7                               | 164                       | 140                                |
| Oil              | Diesel                             | Internal<br>Combustion     | 6.4                               | 156                       | 131                                |
| Oil              | Diesel                             | Internal<br>Combustion     | 5.2                               | 129                       | 108                                |
| EU Elect Mix     | Electricity                        | EV with LI–<br>Ion Battery | 6.0                               | 87                        | 70                                 |
| Wind             | Electricity                        | EV with Li-<br>Ion Battery | 2.2                               | 0                         | 0                                  |

This calculation is for a VW Gulf-sized auto

\* Includes energy/CO2 for all upstream activities

\*\* Electric Power Mix based on EU-15 use of nuclear, coal, gas, oil & renewables 2008

German Data 2008. Source: WHU Research & Press Material (zeit.de)

# EU: Carbon Markets provide backbone for pricing carbon & driving change in energy-intensive industries

![](_page_9_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### Information and Risk Management Services

Quality control: DOEs, NGOs; Legal advisory services: Baker&McKenzie, Climate Focus...; Information & Analysis: Carbon Finance, Point Carbon, New Carbon Finance, IDEA Carbon, Ecosystem Marketplace, Reuters, IETA, Academics...; Capacity building: MDBs, development agencies, National entities (DNAs), IETA, NGOs, networking events...

## 5 Poles of the PO EV Problem

- Operator (PO)
  - Real-options framework to evaluate alternatives
  - Multiple objectives (financial, carbon, labour, ...)
- Electricity supplier
  - Improved sales and load factor
  - Externalities (V2G synergies with wind)
- Automotive Manufacturer
  - Sustainable mobility strategies and related technology scenarios
  - Interacting economies of scale with overall EV market penetration and EV pricing/design/infrastructure
- Carbon accounting, financing (JI) and markets
  - Impact on total PO value chain
  - Transport-specific activities
- Government
  - Taxes on oil (revenue)
  - Subsidies and regulations

# **POs:** Sustainable Fleet Initiatives are aligned with Broader Sustainability Agenda of POs

![](_page_11_Figure_1.jpeg)

# Sustainable Fleet Initiatives will also have considerable impact on POs vehicle expenses

#### **PO Transportation Expenditures**

#### **Illustrative Comparison of (EV) and (ICE) Cost and Environmental Performance**

EV

ICE

|   | La Poste             | USPS                   |
|---|----------------------|------------------------|
| Total Number of Vehicles  | 45,000               | 200,000                |
| Number of Collection & Delivery<br>Vehicles that are candidates for<br>switch to EVs* | 30,000               | 142,000                |
| Current Vehicle Expenses  | Millions of<br>Euros | Millions of<br>Dollars |
| Capital and Depreciation  | 93.6                 | 169.8                  |
| Maintenance and Operating Costs   | 132.9                | 777.7                  |
| Fuel Costs  | 68.8                 | 148.3                  |
| Total Vehicle Expense   | 295.3                | 1095.8                 |

| Purchase Price (€)                              | 25,000 | 10,000 |
|---|--------|--------|
| Resale Value at End of Year 5 (€)               | 5,000  | 2,000  |
| Vehicle Expenses (€)                            |        |        |
| Annual Capital Cost                             | 5,225  | 2,090  |
| Annual Operating Cost                           | 1,029  | 1,139  |
| Annual Maintenance Cost                         | 1,110  | 2,221  |
| Total Annualized Vehicle                        | 7,364  | 5,450  |
| Expense   |        |        |
| CO2e Emissions (tons/year)                      | 0.0    | 1.8    |
| Annual Value of CO2e Emission<br>Reductions (€) | 54.00  | 0.0    |
| Other Environmental Benefits                    |        |        |
| TOTAL ANNUAL COST/vehicle                       | 7,418  | 5,450  |

## **Motivation for Electricity Supplier**

- Generally part of smart grid initiatives to improve energy efficiency at distribution and consumer level
- Improved load factor from off-peak sales (especially true for most commercial fleet operators)
- Vehicle to Grid (V2G) operations allow a potentially valuable storage source
  - During vehicle life time—bidirectional charges
  - After vehicle life of battery ended, use banks of batteries for centralized storage
- Relatively inexpensive hook-up for undirectional flows... A bit more complicated for bidirectional flows.

## Vehicle to Grid (V2G) and Commercial Fleets\*

![](_page_14_Figure_1.jpeg)

\*For an introduction to V2G issues from a GRID perspective., see <a href="http://www.magicconsortium.org/\_Media/test-v2g-in-pjm-jan09.pdf">http://www.magicconsortium.org/\_Media/test-v2g-in-pjm-jan09.pdf</a>

![](_page_15_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_16_Figure_0.jpeg)

### Too few energy storage devices exist to cope with the energy surplus at off-peak times

| ٠ | There is more electricity produced by wind farms than is actually used; |
|---|---|
|---|---|

#### Subsidized excess electricity from wind farms is exported;

• Further giant wind farms are planned throughout the EU (2020, 5050)

![](_page_17_Figure_4.jpeg)

Source: WHU Research & Press Research material (zeit.de)

Problem

### **EVs could play significant role in buffering** energy peaks

- During about 90% of the time, cars are not driven;
- Additional earning potential for car owners when using their EVs as energy buffers. Cheaper night-time electricity can be sold during day-time in the power market at a higher price;

Vehicle to Grid

(V2G)

### <u>Using H2 as energy</u> <u>carrier</u>

- During night-time, when the electricity is cheap, cars electrolyze H2 with their fuel-cells from water;
- At day-time, when the car is not used, fuel-cells generate electricity from stored H2 and feed it to the network;
- Provide power plants with the H2 generation capability in order to fire it later with CH2 at peak times to run the turbine/generator;

• During night-time, when the electricity is cheap, cars charge their batteries;

**Using batteries** 

- At day-time, when the car is not used, batteries feed the electricity to the network;
- Given 2.5 million EVs in 2020, an additional storage capacity of about 25GWh would be available, enough to provide half of Germany with electricity for half an hour;

Decentralized solar and wind power production is highly complementary to decentralized energy storage facilities

## **Regulators and Parliaments are involved!**

![](_page_19_Picture_1.jpeg)

In 2008 Australia started producing its first commercial all-electric vehicle. Originally called the Blade Runner, its name was changed to Electron, and is already being exported to New Zealand. The Electron is based on the Hyundai Getz chassis and has proven popular with governmental car pools;

![](_page_19_Picture_3.jpeg)

In British Colombia it is legal to drive a LSV EV on public roads, although it also requires low speed warning marking and flashing lights. Quebec is allowing a three year LSV pilot project;

![](_page_19_Picture_5.jpeg)

 Chinese government adopted a plan with the goal of turning the country into one of the leaders of all-electric and hybrid vehicles by 2012. It provides subsidies for EV research and up to 8,000USD subsidy for each EV or hybrid vehicle purchased by taxi or governmental agency;

Only 19% CO2 pollution would be reduced by replacing ICEVs with EVs, since 75% of Chinese electricity is generated with coal;

Infrastructure provider "Betterplace" (started in 2007), together with the government, begin first efforts to make Israel the world's first mitigated EV network. An infrastructure of 500,000 charging points and 200 battery exchange stations are planned. By 2011, 150,000 charging stations are planned;

![](_page_19_Picture_10.jpeg)

Ireland has reached agreements with Renault and Nissan to boost the use of EVs. EVs will be a feature on Irish
roads within next time before 2011;

![](_page_19_Picture_12.jpeg)

- UK's prime minister Gordon Brown suggested that by 2020 all new cars sold in Britain could be electric or hybrid with less than 100g/km CO2 emissions; Large subsidies planned (3000 to 4000 Euros)
- Nissan's Sunderland plant the largest car factory in the UK has been granted a £380mil EU-backed loan to develop EV technology;
- Plans announced to deliver 25,000 EV charging places across capital by 2015, in order to make London the "electric car capital of Europe";

### The Auto Industry is Responding to the Trend

Following EVs are available in the sub-100 km/h class

#### City speed

Cars capable of at least 60 km/h (37 mph), but not 100 km/h (62 mph)

| Model 🕅                        | Top speed 🗵                       | Capacity<br>(Adults) ा | Charging time 📧   | Nominal range M                             |  |
|--------------------------------|-----------------------------------|------------------------|---|---|--|
| Kewet Buddy                    | 80 km/h (50 mph)                  |                        |   | 40 to 80 km (25 to 50 mi)                   |  |
| Citroën C1 evie                | 97 km/h (60 mph) <sup>[99]</sup>  | 4 <sup>[99]</sup>      | 6-7 hours <sup>[99]</sup>                                 | 100 to 110 km (60 to 70 mi) <sup>[99]</sup> |  |
| CityEl                         | 63 km/h (39 mph)                  | 1                      |   | 80 to 90 km (50 to 56 mi)                   |  |
| MyCar                          | 64 km/h (40 mph)                  | 2                      | 5 to 8 hrs  | 64 to 110 km (40 to 68 mi)                  |  |
| NICE Mega City                 | 64 km/h (40 mph) <sup>[100]</sup> | 4 <sup>[100]</sup>     |   | 96 km (60 mi) <sup>[100]</sup>              |  |
| REVA i                         | 80 km/h (50 mph)                  | 2 + 2                  | 8 hrs (80% in 2 hrs)                                      | 80 km (50 mi)                               |  |
| REVA L-ion                     | 80 km/h (50 mph)                  | 2+2                    | 6 hrs with onboard charger<br>1 hrs with external charger | 120 km (75 mi)                              |  |
| Stevens Zecar <sup>[101]</sup> | 90 km/h (56 mph) <sup>[101]</sup> |                        |   | 80 km (50 mi)                               |  |
| ZAP Xebra SD and PK            | 64 km/h (40 mph)                  | PK 2 person - SD 4     | 4 hours 120 volt 20 amp 220 volt compatible               | 40 to 64 km (25 to 40 mi)                   |  |

Source: WHU Research and Internet Research material (www.wikipedia.org)

### The Auto Industry is Responding to the Trend

Following EVs are available in the super 100 km/h class

![](_page_21_Picture_2.jpeg)

#### Highway capable

Cars capable of at least 100 km/h (62 mph)

| Model M                         | Top speed ►                                   | Acceleration M                  | Capacity<br>Adults+kids ₪ | Charging time 🗵   | Nominal range 🗵                  | Market release date 🗵 |
|---------------------------------|---|---------------------------------|---------------------------|---|----------------------------------|-----------------------|
| Tesla Roadster <sup>[102]</sup> | 217 km/h (135 mph) (limited) <sup>[102]</sup> | 0 - 97 km/h (60 mph) in 3.9 sec | 2                         | 3½ hours  | 320 km (200 mi) <sup>[102]</sup> | Available /           |
| Th!nk City                      | 100 km/h (62 mph)                             |                                 | 2 (+ 2 optional)          |   | 170 km (110 mi)                  | Available             |
| Nissan EV-02 <sup>[103]</sup>   | 100 km/h (62 mph)                             |                                 | 2 (+ 2 optional)          |   | 160 km (99 mi)                   | 2010 in US            |
| Venturi Fétish <sup>[104]</sup> | 170 km/h (110 mph) <sup>[104]</sup>           |                                 |                           |   | 300 km (190 mi) <sup>[104]</sup> |                       |
| BEV, 'Electron' <sup>[74]</sup> | 110 km/h (68 mph)                             | 0-60 in 7 seconds               | 4 Adults                  | 9 hrs with onboard charger<br>1.5 hrs with external charger | 120 km (75 mi)                   | Available             |

Source: WHU Research and Internet Research material (www.wikipedia.org)

# Alternative charging methods to "home plug" are evolving

#### Propositions and ideas for infrastructure, storage and charging methods

• On a charging station, a robot replaces discharged battery of the car with a charged one in about 40 seconds solving the problem of long charging time. 100 of such stations are planned for 2011 in Israel;

![](_page_22_Picture_3.jpeg)

- Ambitious goals of 150,000 charging points (e.g. parking lots) by 2011 set as an attempt to ease country's the dependence on crude oil and solve political problems;
- Solar energy should be primarily used later;
- Introduction of battery leasing contracts, similar to mobile phone contracts with included km's. However, problem to find the structure, financing this, since none of the car producers would be able to give away a car "for free";
- Apart from Israel, the concept is to be implemented in Denmark, Australia, etc. in cooperation with car producers;
- Refilling zinc-bromine flow batteries or Vanadium redox batteries, instead of recharging;
- Flywheel offers an alternative storage capacity (long life-time, energy densities 0.36-0.5 MJ/kg);
- **Super-capacitors** offer an another alternative storage capacity (fast (re-) charging times). EEStor claims to have developed one with 1MJ/kg energy density (compared to 0.59-0.95 MJ/kg of a Li-Ion battery) this would allow to charge an EV for 5 minutes sufficient to drive for 400 km;
- **Induction** coils under the road to provide battery charging while driving or on a parking lot (Project "Vision Elektromobilität 2050"). This would provide protection against vandalism of charging stations and allow transmission of control signals over the charging network. The efficiency of such methods lies at 95%;

Source: Press Research material (zeit.de)

## Model — General Notation

• To solve the model, we first formulate each party's revenues and costs, where:

N =Set of stakeholders,  $N \in \{PO, AM, ES\}$ 

K = Total vehicles that must be replaced

x = Number of vehicles replaced with EVs,  $x \le K$ 

K - x = Number of vehicles replaced with ICEs

y = Number of PO EVs providing V2G services,  $y \le x$ 

 $R_i(x, y, P)$  = Revenue benefits from strategy (x, y) for  $i \in N$  when prices are P

 $C_i(x, y, P) = Costs of strategy(x, y)$  for  $i \in N$  when prices are P

## **Postal Operator Revenues and Costs**

• Related Postal Operator revenues are:

![](_page_24_Figure_2.jpeg)

- $P_{C}$  = Discounted carbon allowance price
- $g_E$  = Carbon intensity in t-CO<sub>2</sub>/km of the EV relative to benchmark ICE
- $\Delta =$  Total km's per vehicle driven over the lease period
- $P_{BE}$  = Discounted carbon allowance price
- Related Postal Operator costs are:

$$\begin{array}{c} C_{PO}(x) = \begin{pmatrix} P_{AE}(x) - S_E + C_{ME} + C_{OE} \end{pmatrix} x + \begin{pmatrix} P_{AI}(K-x) - S_I + C_{MI} + C_{OI} \end{pmatrix} (K-x) \\ \hline \\ EV \ Costs & \\ \hline \\ ICE \ Costs & \\ \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c} P_{Av}(z) = & \text{Per vehicle lease price}, v \in \{E, I\} \text{ when } z \text{ vehicles are leased} \\ S_v = & \text{Present value of salvage/resale value at end of lease}, v \in \{E, I\} \\ C_{Mv} = & \text{Present value of maintenance and non-fuel operating costs}, v \in \{E, I\} \\ C_{Ov} = \Delta P_{Fv} = & \text{Present value of fuel/electricity cost over vehicle life, where } P_{Fv} \text{ is the discounted average price of fuel/km} \end{array}$$

## Auto Manufacturer Revenues and Costs

• Related Auto Manufacturer revenues are:

![](_page_25_Figure_2.jpeg)

• Related Auto Manufacturer costs are:

![](_page_25_Figure_4.jpeg)

 $C_{AE}(D_{AE} + x) =$  Average cost to produce each EV where  $D_{AE}$  represent EV sales to buyers other than the PO.

- Assume  $C_{AE}(z)$  is decreasing in total output, z
- Assume  $C_{AE}(z)z$  is increasing and concave

 $C_{AI}$  = Average cost to produce each ICE

- Assumes scale economies have been exhausted

## **Electricity Supplier Revenues and Costs**

• Related Electricity Supplier revenues are:

![](_page_26_Figure_2.jpeg)

- $A_{BE}$  = Discounted value of per vehicle avoided cost resulting from batter reserves and contingent power provided to the grid
- Related Electricity Supplier costs are:

![](_page_26_Figure_5.jpeg)

$$\begin{split} C_{FE} &= & \text{Discounted cost of electric power/km for EVs over lease life} \\ F_{BE}(y) &= & \text{Cost to ES of connecting } y \text{ PO vehicles to the grid} \\ &- & \text{Assume that } F_{BE}(y) \text{ is increasing and convex in } y \end{split}$$

## **Cost-based Solution (assuming feasibility)**

• The joint optimization problem for the stakeholders *N* is defined as:

$$\max\left\{\sum_{i\in N} B_i(x, y, P) | (x, y, P \ge 0; y \le x \le K; B_i(x, y, P) \ge B_{i0}, i \in N)\right\}$$

 $B_{i0} = \text{Agent } i \text{'s alternative option (i.e., agents' rationality constraint)}$   $B_i(x, y, P) = R_i(x, y, P) - C_i(x, y, P), \quad i \in N$  $P = \text{The price vector defined by } (P_{FE}; P_{BE}; P_v = P_{Av}(z) - S_v, v \in \{E, I\})$ 

• Noting that the solution is independent of the price vector, and assuming a constant cost per vehicle for attaching EVs to the grid, this yields:

$$\begin{bmatrix} C_{BE} > A_{BE} \end{bmatrix} \Rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} y^* = 0; x^* = K\chi(B(K,0) - B(0,0)) \end{bmatrix}$$
$$\begin{bmatrix} C_{BE} \le A_{BE} \end{bmatrix} \Rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} y^* = x^* = K\chi(B(K,K) - B(0,0)) \end{bmatrix}$$

 $\chi(z)$  = Indicator equal to 1 if  $z \ge 0$  and 0 otherwise.

• Where there are potential benefits to V2G services (the second case above) then the indicated condition on whether  $y^* = x^* = K$  (rather than 0) is:

$$\left[C_{AE}\left(D_{AE}+K\right)-C_{AI}\right]+\left[C_{BE}-A_{BE}\right] \leq P_{C}g_{E}\Delta+\left[\left(C_{MI}+\Delta P_{FI}\right)-\left(C_{ME}+C_{FE}\right)\right]$$

## **Conclusions and Future Research**

- Low-carbon fleet operations are pressing forward, with potential benefits for the major stakeholders
- Fleet operations could provide a catalyst for achieving necessary scale to make economic manufacturing of batteries and autos feasible
- Their some interesting tradeoffs involved, but fundamentally the problem is one of risk sharing among the major parties (possibily sweetened by public subsidies)
- Real options approach to model and resolve optimal intertemporal risk-sharing strategies is the approach we are currently pursuing.

![](_page_29_Picture_0.jpeg)

# INSEAD

The Business School for the World