

# Welfare and Pricing of Mail In a Communications Market

Philippe De Donder & Helmuth Cremer  
(IDEI, Toulouse School of Economics)

Paul Dudley (Royal Mail Group)

Frank Rodriguez (Oxera)

6<sup>th</sup> Toulouse Conference on Postal Sector, March 2010



# 1. Introduction

- In recent years, decline in volumes, both for single piece and bulk mail.
- A consequence of economic downturn, but also substitution to other communication media.
- Single piece mail: substitution to email.
- Bulk mail: two main categories:
  - Transactional mail (bank statements, utilities' invoices): substitution to email and Internet (download of pdf files).
  - Advertising mail: substitution to alternative media, including Internet.

- We look at welfare and pricing of mail in the presence of alternative media.
- Natural extension of existing literature on optimal pricing:
  - in a monopoly setting (Billette et al. 2002)
  - with worksharing discounts for clients (Billette et al. 2003)
  - with third-party access (De Donder et al. 2005)
  - with bypass (De Donder et al. 2006, 2008)
- We proceed as follows:
  - we build a formal model;
  - we solve analytically for the optimal prices;
  - we calibrate and provide numerical simulations.

## 2. The model

- 3 markets: single piece, non direct (i.e., transactional) mail and direct (i.e., advertising) mail.
- USP has (de facto) monopoly over single-piece and (may) face competition on the two bulk mail markets:
  - from postal entrants (using access) on the NDM market;
  - from postal entrants (using access) and from alternative medium on the DM market;
- There is a representative sender of mail and user of alternative medium.

## A little bit of notation

- $p$  stands for prices of final goods.
- Subscripts denote the operator:  $I$ (ncumbent),  $E$ (ntrant) and  $A$ (lternative media).
- Superscripts denote the market:  $x$  for single-piece,  $y$  for NDM and  $z$  for DM.
- Costs:
  - constant marginal upstream ( $c$ ) and downstream ( $d$ ) costs, fixed cost for USP only,
  - same costs for DM and NDM,
  - low constant marginal cost for the alternative media.

## Objective of firms

- Entrants and alternative medium firm behave as a competitive fringe,
- USP maximizes welfare subject to break-even (Ramsey problem, decentralized through global price cap).

## Market situations studied

- We start with monopoly, and then introduce competition market per market
- Monopoly over three goods (SP  $x$ , NDM  $y$ , BM  $z$ )

$$\begin{aligned}\Pi_I^M &= (p^x - c^x - d^x)x(p^x) + (p_I^z - c_I^{yz} - d_I^{yz})z_I^M(p_I^z) \\ &\quad + (p_I^y - c_I^{yz} - d_I^{yz})y_I^M(p_I^y) - F,\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}W^M &= u(x) + v^M(y_I^M) + w^M(z_I^M) - (c^x + d^x)x \\ &\quad - (c_I^{yz} + d_I^{yz})(z_I^M + y_I^M) + I.\end{aligned}$$

$$\max_{p^x, p_I^y, p_I^z} W^M \text{ such that } \Pi_I^M \geq 0.$$

We obtain the following well known first-order conditions

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{p^x - c^x - d^x}{p^x} &= \frac{\lambda}{1 + \lambda \varepsilon^x} \frac{1}{\varepsilon^x}, \\ \frac{p_I^y - c_I^{yz} - d_I^{yz}}{p_I^y} &= \frac{\lambda}{1 + \lambda \varepsilon^y} \frac{1}{\varepsilon^y}, \\ \frac{p_I^z - c_I^{yz} - d_I^{yz}}{p_I^z} &= \frac{\lambda}{1 + \lambda \varepsilon^z} \frac{1}{\varepsilon^z}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\lambda$  is the Lagrange multiplier of the non-negative profit constraint.



- $p_I^y \neq p_I^z$  even though costs are the same ( $c_I^{yz}$  and  $d_I^{yz}$ ).
- If we impose a uniform bulk mail pricing constraint, we rather get

$$\frac{p^x - c^x - d^x}{p^x} = \frac{\lambda}{1 + \lambda \varepsilon^x},$$

$$\frac{p_I^{yz} - c_I^{yz} - d_I^{yz}}{p_I^{yz}} = \frac{\lambda}{1 + \lambda \varepsilon^{yz}},$$

where

$$\varepsilon^{yz} = -p_I^{yz} \frac{\partial [y_I^M(p_I^{yz}) + z_I^M(p_I^{yz})] / \partial p_I^{yz}}{y_I^M(p_I^{yz}) + z_I^M(p_I^{yz})}$$

is the demand price elasticity for the whole of bulk mail, or

$$\varepsilon^{yz} = \frac{y_I^M(p_I^{yz})\varepsilon^y + z_I^M(p_I^{yz})\varepsilon^z}{y_I^M(p_I^{yz}) + z_I^M(p_I^{yz})},$$

i.e., as a weighted average of the demand price elasticities for DM and NDM, with the weights being the market shares.

## Calibration and Numerical Results

- Linear demands with direct price elasticity of -0.2 for SP, -1.0 for DM and -0.2 for NDM
- Marginal costs: USP unit upstream cost is 0.18€ for SP and 0.12€ for DM and NDM. The USP delivery cost is 0.12€ for all 3 products.
- Fixed cost such that USP breaks even under monopoly (1.68 billion €)
- Table 1

## Introducing Alternative Medium

- Keep monopoly on SP ( $x$ ) and NDM ( $y$ ) markets, but introduce alternative medium in DM ( $z$ ) market.
- We define one unit of medium as the amount necessary to obtain the same response than with one unit of mail.
- Optimal prices become

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{p^x - c^x - d^x}{p^x} &= \frac{\lambda}{1 + \lambda \varepsilon^x} \frac{1}{\varepsilon^x}, \\ \frac{p_I^y - c_I^{yz} - d_I^{yz}}{p_I^y} &= \frac{\lambda}{1 + \lambda \varepsilon^y} \frac{1}{\varepsilon^y}, \\ \frac{p_I^z - c_I^{yz} - d_I^{yz}}{p_I^z} &= \frac{\lambda}{1 + \lambda \varepsilon_{PM}^z} \frac{1}{\varepsilon_{PM}^z},\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\varepsilon_{PM}^z = -p_I^z \frac{\partial z_I^{PM}(p_I^z, p_A^z) / \partial p_I^z}{z_I^{PM}(p_I^z, p_A^z)}.$$

- Observe that the cross-price elasticity of the alternative medium demand with respect to the USP's direct mail price does not appear in the formula because of our assumption that the alternative media firms behave like a competitive fringe.
- Table 2.

## Competition on both bulk mail markets

- We now build on the previous section and we introduce access-based (postal) competition on both bulk mail markets (DM and NDM).
- Optimal prices are sum of three terms:

$$\begin{aligned}
 p^x &= c^x + d^x + \frac{\lambda}{1 + \lambda \varepsilon^x} p^x, \\
 p_I^y &= c_I^{yz} + d_I^{yz} + \frac{\lambda}{1 + \lambda \varepsilon_I^y} p_I^y + (a^y - d_I^{yz}) \sigma_{EI}^y, \\
 a^y &= d_I^{yz} + \frac{\lambda}{1 + \lambda \varepsilon_E^y} a^y + (p_I^y - c_I^{yz} - d_I^{yz}) \sigma_{IE}^y, \\
 p_I^z &= c_I^{yz} + d_I^{yz} + \frac{\lambda}{1 + \lambda \varepsilon_I^z} p_I^z + (a^z - d_I^{yz}) \sigma_{EI}^z, \\
 a^z &= d_I^{yz} + \frac{\lambda}{1 + \lambda \varepsilon_E^z} a^z + (p_I^z - c_I^{yz} - d_I^{yz}) \sigma_{IE}^z,
 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma_{EI}^y &= -\frac{\partial y_E(p_I^y, p_E^y)/\partial p_I^y}{\partial y_I(p_I^y, p_E^y)/\partial p_I^y}, \\ \sigma_{IE}^y &= -\frac{\partial y_I(p_I^y, p_E^y)/\partial p_E^y}{\partial y_E(p_I^y, p_E^y)/\partial p_E^y}, \\ \sigma_{EI}^z &= -\frac{\partial z_E(p_I^z, p_E^z, p_A^z)/\partial p_I^z}{\partial z_I(p_I^z, p_E^z, p_A^z)/\partial p_I^z}, \\ \sigma_{IE}^z &= -\frac{\partial z_I(p_I^z, p_E^z, p_A^z)/\partial p_E^z}{\partial z_E(p_I^z, p_E^z, p_A^z)/\partial p_E^z},\end{aligned}$$

are displacement ratios, in the spirit of Armstrong (2008) and De Donder (2006).

- This last term takes into account the fact that increasing one USP price displaces demand from that good to the postal substitute.
- This displacement effect is measured by the displacement ratio, and is weighted by the margin made selling this good (either directly in the case of an end-to-end product, or through access).
- Displacement to the alternative medium does not appear in the above formulas because
  - this good is offered at marginal cost and,
  - the provision of this good does not bring revenues to the USP, as no access is offered for that product.
- Table 2

## Conclusions

- Uniform bulk mail pricing decreases welfare, also through increase in other (single piece) mail prices.
- Introduction of alternative medium competing with DM increases consumers choices and surplus, while still allowing the USP to break even (with our calibration).
- Same observation for introduction of postal competition through access in two bulk mail markets.
- If postal goods offered by USP and entrants are closer to each other (displacement ratio  $0.75 \Rightarrow 0.9$ ), entrants are driven out of DM market.



**Table 1: Calibrated results for the USP monopoly**

		Monopoly		
Reference		Calibration	<u>Different</u> prices for NDM & DM	With <u>same</u> prices for NDM & DM
<b>Prices (€):</b>				
Single piece	$p_I^x$	0.500	0.532	0.609
NDM – USP	$p_I^y$	0.400	0.426	0.373
DM – USP	$p_I^z$	0.400	0.288	0.373
<b>Quantities (bn):</b>				
Single piece	$x_I^x$	2.000	1.974	1.913
NDM – USP	$y_I$	6.000	5.932	6.082
DM – USP	$z_I$	2.000	2.560	2.137
Total		10.000	10.457	10.133
<b>Total profit (€bn):</b>				
		0.000	0.000	0.000
<b>Consumer surplus (€bn)</b>				
Single piece		2.500	2.437	2.288
NDM		6.000	5.848	6.166
DM		0.400	0.655	0.457
Total		8.900	8.939	8.910
Lagrange multiplier			0.104	0.148

**Table 2: Calibrated results with competition from an alternative medium**

Reference		Monopoly	DM medium only	DM medium plus access entry
<b>Assumptions</b>				
Displacement ratio		-	0.75	0.75
Price elasticity (DM/NDM)		-1.0/-0.2	-1.0/-0.2	-1.0/-0.2
Medium share for 50% price reduction		-	25%	25%
<b>Prices (€):</b>				
Single piece	$p_I^x$	0.532	0.538	0.537
NDM – USP	$p_I^y$	0.426	0.430	0.430
NDM – access	$a^y$	-	-	0.265
NDM – entrants	$p_E^y$	-	-	0.415
DM – USP	$p_I^z$	0.288	0.276	0.276
DM – medium	$p_A^z$	-	0.200	0.200
DM – access	$a^z$	-	-	0.150
DM – entrants	$p_E^z$	-	-	0.300
<b>Quantities (bn):</b>				
Single piece	$x_I^x$	1.974	1.970	1.970
NDM – USP	$y_I$	5.932	5.909	5.249
NDM – entrants	$y_E$	-	-	0.882
DM – USP	$z_I$	2.560	2.380	2.012
DM – medium	$z_A$	-	0.317	0.295
DM – entrants	$z_E$	-	-	0.493
Total		10.457	10.576	10.900
<b>Consumer surplus (€bn):</b>				
Single piece		2.437	2.425	2.426
NDM		5.848	5.819	5.833
Advertising (DM and media)		0.655	0.707	0.714
Total		8.939	8.951	8.973
Lagrange multiplier		0.104	0.107	0.107