

Discussion of "Towards a Sector-overlapping USO for Communication" (Jaag & Trinkner, Swiss Economics)

by Philippe De Donder (IDEI)

**Toulouse – March 22, 2012** 

- Nice paper, tackling a most relevant and timely question.
- Knack for formulas: from e-substitution to « usubstitution », or how the advent of electronic substitutes impacts the postal USO.

- Paper has excellent structure:
  - First looks at foundations for postal USO, and their economic rationale.
  - Then studies the impact of e-substitution.
- First part: very good to start with economic foundations, going all the way back to fundamental theorems of welfare economics.

## Remarks – Part 1

- USO not defined.
- Very general discussion. OK for market imperfections, but
  - Why should we use sectoral USO to correct them?
    (ex: why use postal system to redistribute?)
  - Mixes regulation, USO, and even competition policy.
  - No discussion of costs of USO.

- I would have liked a discussion of costs and benefits of current sectoral USO, followed by a discussion of
  - how they are affected by e-substitution,
  - new ways to attain the same objectives with other policies, such as sector-overlapping USO.

## Part 2 : « Defining USO in an electronic age »

- A bit disappointing.
- Less than one third of paper.
- Piecemeal approach:
  - « While some USO elements may be of decreasing value, others may become more valuable to society »
  - « New combinations of physical postal services with new digital means will allow for selected adjustments of the postal USO »

 Also, not much on how to impose these modified USO (on postal operators, on telecom operators, on both, pay or play, ...)